

## **About this report**

PRI reporting is the largest global reporting project on responsible investment. It was developed with investors, for investors.

PRI signatories are required to report publicly on their responsible investment activities each year. In turn, they receive a number of outputs, including a public and private Transparency Report.

The public Transparency Reports, which are produced using signatories' reported information, provide accountability and support signatories to have internal discussions about their practices and to discuss these with their clients, beneficiaries, and other stakeholders.

This public Transparency Report is an export of the signatory's responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2023 reporting period. It includes the signatory's responses to core indicators, as well as responses to plus indicators that the signatory has agreed to make public.

In response to signatory feedback, the PRI has not summarised signatories' responses – the information in this document is presented exactly as it was reported.

For each of the indicators in this document, all options selected by the signatory are presented, including links and qualitative responses. In some indicators, all applicable options are included for additional context.

### **Disclaimers**

### Responsible investment definitions

Within the PRI Reporting Framework Glossary, we provide definitions for key terms to guide reporting on responsible investment practices in the Reporting Framework. These definitions may differ from those used or proposed by other authorities and regulatory bodies due to evolving industry perspectives and changing legislative landscapes. Users of this report should be aware of these variations, as they may impact interpretations of the information provided.

### **Data accuracy**

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2023 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented.

The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

## **Table of Contents**

Module	Page
SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)	4
ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO)	7
POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)	25
MANAGER SELECTION, APPOINTMENT AND MONITORING (SAM)	62
LISTED EQUITY (LE)	72
FIXED INCOME (FI)	81
CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)	93



## SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)

### SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

### SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Senior Leadership Statement	GENERAL

#### Section 1. Our commitment

- Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?
- What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment, and what major responsible investment commitment(s) have you made?

Sienna Investment Managers SA acting on behalf of the group and/or its affiliates (hereafter "Sienna" or "Sienna IM") considers that responsible finance has a key role to play in supporting the different players of the economy in the historic transitions that we are facing: Digital transition, with the related fractures, Demographic transition and its health and training needs, Societal transition, notably around gender and inclusion, and of course Ecological transition, centered today on the climate and tomorrow in a broader way on biodiversity. Given the considerable levels of investment required to reorient production, consumption and living conditions in the coming decades, sustainable finance is already contributing to directing financial flows towards activities that will shape the future seeking to maintain optimal profitability for investors and shareholders.

Sustainable finance does not oppose sustainability and profitability.

In addition to strictly financial data, it also takes into account real risks throughout the investment cycle (selection, monitoring, portfolio optimization, divestment), analyzed under the axis of double materiality: the risks that the environment in the broadest sense poses to the investment, but also those induced by the negative externalities generated by the companies financed by the funds we manage. Sienna's overall approach to responsible investment is systematic ESG integration into the decision-making process, while applying some norm-based and sector exclusions to all funds the various expertises managed.

On top of that, Sienna aims to implement robust processes for identifying ESG controversies and potential ESG risks at the preinvestment stage.

Furthermore, as part of its ESG integration policy, Sienna requires the implementation of engagement programs and plans by invested or financed assets based on the conclusions of preliminary risk analyses and annual ESG risk reviews. Due to the specificities inherent to each asset class, the purpose and conditions of these engagement plans remain specific to each investment process and are described in the specific documentation for each entity and product.

Exercising voting rights is an integral part of the ESG commitment of the various fund managers, and Sienna exercises the voting rights attached to its investments on behalf of its clients.



The specific voting policy for each product and dedicated reporting are available on the websites of each regulated entity.

Sienna has made some major responsible investment commitments, such as:

- Sienna has some external engagements, non-exhaustive list below:
- o PRI signatory since 2015
- o UN Global Compact support
- o All Sienna's ESG commitments can be found here: https://www.sienna-im.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/sienna-im\_list-of-initiatives 2023 final en.pdf
- Sienna also commits to systematically address climate, biodiversity and DE&I topics as soon as sustainability is mentioned.
- All new products launched by an expertise are classified as Art.

8 or 9 with SFDR, unless specifically requested by the client.

#### Section 2. Annual overview

- Discuss your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. Details might include, for example, outlining your single most important achievement or describing your general progress on topics such as the following (where applicable):
- refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
- stewardship activities with investees and/or with policymakers
- collaborative engagements
- attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards

2022 was the year of building Sienna IM as it is now. Several asset managers were integrated (Sienna Gestion, Sienna Private Credit, Sienna Real Estate) and a few investment teams were added (Sienna Private Equity, Sienna Venture Capital and Sienna Multi-Managers Private Equity).

The main 2022 ESG achievements are:

- the creation of the group's ESG Policy and the exclusion policy
- the recruitment of the ESG team (head of ESG arrived in February 2023)
- Sienna Gestion and Sienna Private Credit, the 2 business units with funds in 2022, finetuned their ESG analysis framework.
- External recognition is very important for us.

As such, some of our funds were granted with labels:

- 13 Sienna Gestion funds were granted with the French SRI Label, representing EUR 8.3 bn as of end December 2022. The French SRI Label focuses on ESG practices.
- 3 Sienna Private Credit funds and 1 Sienna Gestion fund were granted with the French Greenfin Label, representing EUR 527 mln as of end December 2022. The French Greenfin label focuses on the environmental transition.
- 13 Sienna Gestion funds were granted with the French Finansol Label, representing EUR 1.1 bn as of end December 2022. The French Finansol Label focuses on social impact.
- 17 Sienna Gestion funds were granted with the French CIES Label, representing EUR 2.6 bn as of end December 2022. The French CIES Label focuses on saving-schemes funds.

Stewardship activities of Sienna Gestion can be found here: https://www.sienna-gestion.com/sites/default/files/2023-07/Rapport%20engagement%20et%20vote%202022.pdf.



#### Section 3. Next steps

■ What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

We have defined an ambitious roadmap for the next two years with the objective to position Sienna IM as a committed actor in a sustainable and inclusive economy.

Main steps of this roadmap are:

- Provide training for all our employees, which will enabling us to share the same understanding of where we want to go;
- Design and implement a common framework in terms of sustainability policies, external commitments and communication at Sienna IM
- Enhance ESG integration process at expertise level;
- Design and implement an efficient ESG data management framework;
- Pursue the development and amelioration of our CSR policy.

On top of that, we have decided to systematically adress climate, biodiversity and DE&I challenges.

In 2023, regarding climate, we are working on our future SBTi commitment.

Regarding biodiversity, we are developing at the group level a biodiversity policy focusing both on sectors and companies. The methodology relies on impact and dependencies. Regarding DE&I we plan to work on a DE&I policy at the beginning of 2024.

Furthermore, we are currently working on modifying the ESG organisation to be more efficient.

Strategy, key investment principles, corporate ESG/CSR and regulation analysis should stay at the group level, while ESG analysis should stay at close as possible to the investment teams. This new organisation shall be implemented by H1 2024.

#### Section 4. Endorsement

'The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment'.

Name

Alix Faure

Position

Head of ESG

Organisation's Name

Sienna Investment Managers

#### A

о **В** 

'This endorsement applies only to the Senior Leadership Statement and should not be considered an endorsement of the information reported by the above-mentioned organisation in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement serves as a general overview of the above-mentioned organisation's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such. Further, it is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions'.



## **ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (00)**

### **ORGANISATIONAL INFORMATION**

### **REPORTING YEAR**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Reporting year	GENERAL

What is the year-end date of the 12-month period you have chosen to report for PRI reporting purposes?

	Date	Month	Year
Year-end date of the 12-month period for PRI reporting purposes:	31	12	2022

### **SUBSIDIARY INFORMATION**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2	CORE	N/A	OO 2.1	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

### Does your organisation have subsidiaries?

(A) Yes

o (B) No

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2.1	CORE	00 2	OO 2.2	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Are any of your organisation's subsidiaries PRI signatories in their own right?

o (A) Yes



### **ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT**

### **ALL ASSET CLASSES**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 4	CORE	00 3	N/A	PUBLIC	All asset classes	GENERAL

What are your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the reporting year, as indicated in [OO 1]?

(A) AUM of your organisation, including subsidiaries, and excluding the AUM subject to US\$ 21,317,950,502.00 execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only (B) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories in their own right and excluded from this US\$ 0.00 submission, as indicated in [OO 2.2] (C) AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research US\$ 0.00 advisory only

Additional information on the exchange rate used: (Voluntary)

EUR/USP Spot Dec 30th, 2022: 1,07025



### **ASSET BREAKDOWN**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 5	CORE	OO 3	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total AUM at the end of the reporting year as indicated in [OO 1].

	(1) Percentage of Internally managed AUM	(2) Percentage of Externally managed AUM
(A) Listed equity	>10-50%	>0-10%
(B) Fixed income	>50-75%	>0-10%
(C) Private equity	0%	0%
(D) Real estate	0%	0%
(E) Infrastructure	0%	0%
(F) Hedge funds	0%	0%
(G) Forestry	0%	0%
(H) Farmland	0%	0%
(I) Other	>10-50%	>0-10%
(J) Off-balance sheet	0%	0%

### (I) Other - (1) Percentage of Internally managed AUM - Specify:

Cash and money market instruments

### (I) Other - (2) Percentage of Externally managed AUM - Specify:

External money market mutual funds



### **ASSET BREAKDOWN: EXTERNALLY MANAGED ASSETS**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.1	CORE	OO 5	Multiple	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Externally managed assets	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your organisation's externally managed listed equity and/or fixed income AUM.

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income - SSA	(3) Fixed income - corporate	(4) Fixed income - securitised	(5) Fixed income - private debt
(A) Active	>50-75%	0%	>75%	0%	0%
(B) Passive	>10-50%	0%	>10-50%		

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	SAM 3, SAM 8	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Externally managed assets	GENERAL

Provide a breakdown of your organisation's externally managed AUM between segregated mandates and pooled funds or investments.

(1) Segregated mandate(s)	(2) Pooled fund(s) or pooled investment(s)
0%	>75%
0%	>75%
0%	>75%
0%	>75%
	0% 0%



### ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED LISTED EQUITY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 5.3 LE	CORE	OO 5	Multiple	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed listed equity	GENERAL
Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed listed equity AUM.						

(A) Passive equity	>0-10%
(B) Active – quantitative	0%
(C) Active – fundamental	>75%
(D) Other strategies	0%

### ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED FIXED INCOME

Indicator	Type of indic	ator Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.3 FI	CORE	OO 5	Multiple	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed fixed income	GENERAL
Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed fixed income AUM.						
(A) Passive	- SSA 0	%				
(B) Passive	– corporate 0	%				
(C) Active –	SSA >	10-50%				
(D) Active –	corporate >	10-50%				
(E) Securitis	sed >	0-10%				



### MANAGEMENT BY PRI SIGNATORIES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Management by PRI signatories	GENERAL

What percentage of your organisation's externally managed assets are managed by PRI signatories?

>75%

### **GEOGRAPHICAL BREAKDOWN**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
007	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Geographical breakdown	GENERAL

How much of your AUM in each asset class is invested in emerging markets and developing economies?

### **AUM in Emerging Markets and Developing Economies**

(A) Listed equity	(1) 0%
(B) Fixed income – SSA	(1) 0%
(C) Fixed income – corporate	(1) 0%
(D) Fixed income – securitised	(1) 0%
(E) Fixed income – private debt	(1) 0%



### **STEWARDSHIP**

### **STEWARDSHIP**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 8	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Stewardship	GENERAL

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities, excluding (proxy) voting, for any of your assets?

	(1) Listed equity - active	(2) Listed equity - passive	(3) Fixed income - active	(4) Fixed income - passive	(11) Other
(A) Yes, through internal staff	V				
(B) Yes, through service providers					
(C) Yes, through external managers					
(D) We do not conduct stewardship	0	•	•	•	•

### STEWARDSHIP: (PROXY) VOTING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 9	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	GENERAL

Does your organisation conduct (proxy) voting activities for any of your listed equity holdings?



	(1) Listed equity - active	(2) Listed equity - passive
(A) Yes, through internal staff	Ø	
(B) Yes, through service providers		
(C) Yes, through external managers		
(D) We do not conduct (proxy) voting	0	•

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9.1	CORE	OO 9	PGS 10.1, PGS 31	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	GENERAL

For each asset class, on what percentage of your listed equity holdings do you have the discretion to vote?

Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote

(A) Listed equity – active (4) >20 to 30%

### STEWARDSHIP NOT CONDUCTED

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 10	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship not conducted	2

Describe why your organisation does not currently conduct stewardship and/or (proxy) voting.

Stewardship, excluding (proxy) voting (B) Listed equity – passive

Not applicable for passive strategy



(C) Fixed income – active

Not applicable for fixed income instrument as no voting right held

(D) Fixed income – passive

Not applicable for passive strategy

(K) Other

Not applicable for Money Market instruments

(Proxy) voting

(M) Listed equity – passive

Not applicable for passive strategy

### **ESG INCORPORATION**

### **INTERNALLY MANAGED ASSETS**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 11	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Internally managed assets	1

For each internally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors into your investment decisions?

	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions	(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions
(A) Listed equity - passive	•	0
(C) Listed equity - active - fundamental	•	0
(E) Fixed income - SSA	•	0
(F) Fixed income - corporate	•	0
(G) Fixed income - securitised	•	0
(H) Fixed income - private debt	•	0



### **EXTERNAL MANAGER SELECTION**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 12	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	External manager selection	1

For each externally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors when selecting external investment managers?

	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors when selecting external investment managers	(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors when selecting external investment managers
(A) Listed equity - active	•	0
(B) Listed equity - passive	0	•
(C) Fixed income - active	•	0
(D) Fixed income - passive	О	•
(K) Other: External money market mutual funds	О	•

### **EXTERNAL MANAGER APPOINTMENT**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 13	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	External manager appointment	1

For each externally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors when appointing external investment managers?



# (1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors when appointing external investment managers

# (2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors when appointing external investment managers

(A) Listed equity - active	•	0
(B) Listed equity - passive	0	•
(C) Fixed income - active	•	0
(D) Fixed income - passive	0	•
(K) Other: External money market mutual funds	0	•

### **EXTERNAL MANAGER MONITORING**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 14	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	External manager monitoring	1

For each externally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors when monitoring external investment managers?

	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors when monitoring external investment managers	(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors when monitoring external investment managers
(A) Listed equity - active	•	0
(B) Listed equity - passive	O	•
(C) Fixed income - active	•	0
(D) Fixed income - passive	Ο	•
(K) Other: External money market mutual funds	ο	•



### **ESG NOT INCORPORATED**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 16	CORE	OO 11, OO 12- 14	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG not incorporated	1

Describe why your organisation does not currently incorporate ESG factors into your investment decisions.

Internally managed

(O) Other

Not applicable for Money market instruments

Externally managed

(Q) Listed equity - passive

Not applicable for passive strategy

(S) Fixed income - passive

Not applicable for passive strategy

(Z) Other

Not applicable for Money market instruments

### **ESG STRATEGIES**

### **LISTED EQUITY**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 17 LE	CORE	00 11	00 17.1 LE, LE 12	PUBLIC	Listed equity	1

Which ESG incorporation approach and/or combination of approaches does your organisation apply to your internally managed active listed equity?

### Percentage out of total internally managed active listed equity

(A) Screening alone	>10-50%
(B) Thematic alone	0%
(C) Integration alone	0%



(D) Screening and integration	>50-75%
(E) Thematic and integration	0%
(F) Screening and thematic	0%
(G) All three approaches combined	>10-50%
(H) None	0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 17.1 LE	CORE	00 17 LE	LE 9	PUBLIC	Listed equity	1

What type of screening does your organisation use for your internally managed active listed equity assets where a screening approach is applied?

Percentage coverage out of your total listed equity assets where a screening approach is applied  $% \left\{ 1,2,\ldots,n\right\}$ 

	approxim to approx
(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0%
(B) Negative screening only	0%
(C) A combination of screening approaches	>75%



### **FIXED INCOME**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 17 FI	CORE	OO 5.3 FI, OO 11	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Fixed income	1

Which ESG incorporation approach and/or combination of approaches does your organisation apply to your internally managed active fixed income?

	(1) Fixed income - SSA	(2) Fixed income - corporate	(3) Fixed income - securitised
(A) Screening alone	>0-10%	>10-50%	>10-50%
(B) Thematic alone	0%	0%	0%
(C) Integration alone	0%	0%	0%
(D) Screening and integration	>75%	>75%	>75%
(E) Thematic and integration	0%	0%	0%
(F) Screening and thematic	0%	0%	0%
(G) All three approaches combined	>0-10%	>0-10%	0%
(H) None	0%	0%	0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 17.1 FI	CORE	00 17 FI	N/A	PUBLIC	Fixed income	1

What type of screening does your organisation use for your internally managed active fixed income where a screening approach is applied?



	(1) Fixed income - SSA	(2) Fixed income - corporate	(3) Fixed income - securitised
(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0%	0%	0%
(B) Negative screening only	0%	0%	0%
(C) A combination of screening approaches	>75%	>75%	>75%

### **ESG/SUSTAINABILITY FUNDS AND PRODUCTS**

#### LABELLING AND MARKETING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18	CORE	OO 11–14	OO 18.1	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	1

### Do you explicitly market any of your products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable?

(A) Yes, we market products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable
Provide the percentage of AUM that your ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products or funds represent:

>75%

- o (B) No, we do not offer products or funds explicitly marketed as ESG and/or sustainable
- o (C) Not applicable; we do not offer products or funds

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18.1	CORE	OO 18	OO 18.2	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	1

Do any of your ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds hold formal ESG and/or RI certification(s) or label(s) awarded by a third party?

(A) Yes, our ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds hold formal labels or certifications Provide the percentage of AUM that your labelled and/or certified products and/or funds represent:

>50-75%

o (B) No, our ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds do not hold formal labels or certifications



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18.2	CORE	OO 18.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	1

### Which ESG/RI certifications or labels do you hold?

☐ (A) Commodity type label (e.g. BCI)
☐ (B) GRESB
☐ (C) Austrian Ecolabel (UZ49)
□ (D) B Corporation
□ (E) BREEAM
☐ (F) CBI Climate Bonds Standard
☐ (G) DDV-Nachhaltigkeitskodex-ESG-Strategie
☐ (H) DDV-Nachhaltigkeitskodex-ESG-Impact
$\square$ (I) EU Ecolabel
☐ (J) EU Green Bond Standard
☐ (K) Febelfin label (Belgium)
☑ (L) Finansol
☐ (M) FNG-Siegel Ecolabel (Germany, Austria and Switzerland)
☑ (N) Greenfin label (France)
☐ (O) Grüner Pfandbrief
☐ (P) ICMA Green Bond Principles
☐ (Q) ICMA Social Bonds Principles
☐ (R) ICMA Sustainability Bonds Principles
☐ (S) ICMA Sustainability-linked Bonds Principles
$\square$ (T) Kein Verstoß gegen Atomwaffensperrvertrag
☑ (U) Le label ISR (French government SRI label)
☐ (V) Luxflag Climate Finance
☐ (W) Luxflag Environment
☐ (X) Luxflag ESG
$\square$ (Y) Luxflag Green Bond
☐ (Z) Luxflag Microfinance
☐ (AA) Luxflag Sustainable Insurance Products
☐ (AB) National stewardship code
☐ (AC) Nordic Swan Ecolabel
$\square$ (AD) Other SRI label based on EUROSIF SRI Transparency Code (e.g. Novethic)
$\square$ (AE) People's Bank of China green bond guidelines
☐ (AF) RIAA (Australia)
☐ (AG) Towards Sustainability label (Belgium)
☑ (AH) Other
Specify:

CIES (Comité Intersyndical de l'Epargne Salariale)



### **PASSIVE INVESTMENTS**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 19	CORE	OO 5.3 FI, OO 11	LE 8, FI 10	PUBLIC	Passive investments	1

What percentage of your total internally managed passive listed equity and/or fixed income passive AUM utilise an ESG index or benchmark?

### **THEMATIC BONDS**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 20	CORE	00 17 FI	FI 15, FI 17	PUBLIC	Thematic bonds	1

What percentage of your total environmental and/or social thematic bonds are labelled by the issuers in accordance with industry-recognised standards?

Percentage of your total environmental and/or social thematic bonds labelled by the issuers

(A) Green or climate bonds	>75%
(B) Social bonds	0%
(C) Sustainability bonds	0%
(D) Sustainability-linked bonds	0%
(E) SDG or SDG-linked bonds	0%
(F) Other	0%



### **SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS**

### **SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 21	CORE	Multiple indicators	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Summary of reporting requirements	GENERAL

The following table shows which modules are mandatory or voluntary to report on in the separate PRI asset class modules. Where a module is voluntary, indicate if you wish to report on it.

Applicable modules	<ol><li>(1) Mandatory to report (pre-filled based on previous responses)</li></ol>	(2.1) Voluntary to report. Yes, I want to opt-in to reporting on the module	(2.2) Voluntary to report. No, I want to opt-out of reporting on the module
Policy, Governance and Strategy	•	0	0
Confidence Building Measures	•	0	0
(A) Listed equity – passive	•	0	0
(C) Listed equity – active – fundamental	•	0	0
(E) Fixed income – SSA	•	0	0
(F) Fixed income – corporate	•	0	0
(G) Fixed income – securitised	•	0	0
(H) Fixed income – private debt	•	0	0



<ul><li>(T) External manager selection,</li><li>appointment and monitoring (SAM)</li><li>listed equity - active</li></ul>	0		0
<ul><li>(V) External manager selection,</li><li>appointment and monitoring (SAM)</li><li>fixed income - active</li></ul>	0	•	0

### SUBMISSION INFORMATION

### REPORT DISCLOSURE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 32	CORE	00 3, 00 31	N/A	PUBLIC	Report disclosure	GENERAL

How would you like to disclose the detailed percentage figures you reported throughout the Reporting Framework?

- o (A) Publish as absolute numbers
- (B) Publish as ranges

## POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)

### **POLICY**

#### RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY ELEMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 1	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1, 2

### Which elements are covered in your formal responsible investment policy(ies)?

- ☑ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- ☑ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- ☑ (C) Guidelines on social factors
- ☑ (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- ☑ (E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes
- ☑ (F) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold
- **☑** (G) Guidelines on exclusions
- ☐ (H) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment
- ☑ (I) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees
- ☑ (J) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement
- ☑ (K) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders
- ☑ (L) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting
- $\hfill\square$  (M) Other responsible investment elements not listed here



o (N) Our organisation does not have a formal responsible investment policy and/or our policy(ies) do not cover any responsible investment elements

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 2	CORE	PGS 1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1

#### Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) include specific guidelines on systematic sustainability issues?

- ☑ (A) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)
- ☑ (B) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)
- ☑ (C) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues Specify:

Biodiversity: Sienna intends to offer particularly innovative and differentiating investment solutions in this area, based in particular on concrete and quantifiable indicators that measure the impact and efforts made to protect biodiversity.

o (D) Our formal responsible investment policy(ies) does not include guidelines on systematic sustainability issues

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 3	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	6

#### Which elements of your formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available?

☑ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment Add link:

https://www.sienna-im.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/sienna-im-esg-policy-eng-vf.pdf

☑ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors

Add link:

https://www.sienna-gestion.com/sites/default/files/2022-11/Sienna%20Politique%20ISR.pdf

☑ (C) Guidelines on social factors

Add link:

https://www.sienna-gestion.com/sites/default/files/2022-11/Sienna%20Politique%20ISR.pdf

☑ (D) Guidelines on governance factors

Add link:

https://www.sienna-gestion.com/sites/default/files/2022-11/Sienna%20Politique%20ISR.pdf

- $\square$  (E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes
- ☑ (F) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)



Add link:

https://www.sienna-gestion.com/sites/default/files/2022-11/Sienna%20Politique%20ISR.pdf

(G) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors) Add link:

https://www.sienna-gestion.com/sites/default/files/2022-11/Sienna%20Politique%20ISR.pdf

(H) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues Add link:

https://www.sienna-gestion.com/sites/default/files/2022-11/Sienna%20Politique%20ISR.pdf

(I) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold Add link:

https://www.sienna-im.com/services/private-credit/

☑ (J) Guidelines on exclusions

Add link:

https://www.sienna-im.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/sienna-im-exclusion-policy-eng-vf.pdf

(K) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment Add link:

https://www.sienna-im.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/sienna-im-esg-policy-eng-vf.pdf

(L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees Add link:

https://www.sienna-gestion.com/sites/default/files/2022-11/Sienna%20Politique%20ISR.pdf

(M) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement Add link:

https://www.sienna-gestion.com/sites/default/files/2022-11/Sienna%20Politique%20ISR.pdf

(N) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders Add link:

https://www.sienna-gestion.com/sites/default/files/2022-11/Sienna%20Politique%20ISR.pdf

(O) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting Add link:

https://www.sienna-gestion.com/sites/default/files/2022-11/Sienna%20Politique%20ISR.pdf

o (Q) No elements of our formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 4	PLUS	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1-6



Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) identify a link between your responsible investment activities and your fiduciary duties or equivalent obligations?

(A) Yes

Elaborate:

Sienna considers that responsible finance has a key role to play in supporting the different players of the economy in the historic transitions that we are facing: Digital transition, with the related fractures, Demographic transition and its health and training needs, Societal transition, notably around gender and inclusion, and of course Ecological transition, centered today on the climate and tomorrow in a broader way on biodiversity. Given the considerable levels of investment required to reorient production, consumption and living conditions in the coming decades, sustainable finance is already contributing to directing financial flows towards activities that will shape the future while maintaining optimal profitability for investors and shareholders. Sustainable finance does not oppose sustainability and profitability. In addition to strictly financial data, it also takes into account real risks throughout the investment cycle (selection, monitoring, portfolio optimization, divestment), analyzed under the axis of double materiality: the risks that the environment in the broadest sense poses to the investment, but also those induced by the negative externalities generated by the companies financed by the funds we manage.

Sienna IM is convinced that integrating responsible investment targets in our investment process can improve the value of our portfolio by mitigating risks, making companies more resilient and more attractive. Over the long term, it creates value for all stakeholders. That's why Sienna IM's activities systematically integrate environmental, social and governance criteria into the investment processes.

Our investment decisions are increasingly based on the belief that non-financial analysis is essential to determine the value and to measure the sustainability of a company's revenue, and that this approach enhances the selection process and makes the management process more robust. Our investment process is founded on convictions supported by reliable, objective and measurable criteria, in order to focus portfolios on companies and assets whose activities and governance appear comprehensible, worthwhile and sustainable and whose price is reasonable.

(B) No

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 5	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

#### Which elements are covered in your organisation's policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship?

☑ (A) Overall stewardship objectives
☑ (B) Prioritisation of specific ESG factors to be advanced via stewardship activities
(C) Criteria used by our organisation to prioritise the investees, policy makers, key stakeholders, or other entities on
which to focus our stewardship efforts
☑ (D) How different stewardship tools and activities are used across the organisation
☐ (E) Approach to escalation in stewardship
☐ (F) Approach to collaboration in stewardship
☐ (G) Conflicts of interest related to stewardship
(H) How stewardship efforts and results are communicated across the organisation to feed into investment decision-
making and vice versa
☐ (I) Other

o (J) None of the above elements is captured in our policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 6	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

#### Does your policy on (proxy) voting include voting principles and/or guidelines on specific ESG factors?

- ☑ (A) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific environmental factors
- ☑ (B) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific social factors
- ☑ (C) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific governance factors
- o (D) Our policy on (proxy) voting does not include voting principles or guidelines on specific ESG factors

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 7	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

### Does your organisation have a policy that states how (proxy) voting is addressed in your securities lending programme?

- o (A) We have a publicly available policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme
- o (B) We have a policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme, but it is not publicly available
- o (C) We rely on the policy of our external service provider(s)
- o (D) We do not have a policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme
- (E) Not applicable; we do not have a securities lending programme

### RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY COVERAGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 8	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What percentage of your total AUM is covered by the below elements of your responsible investment policy(ies)?



### Combined AUM coverage of all policy elements

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment

(B) Guidelines on environmental factors

(C) Guidelines on social factors

(D) Guidelines on governance factors

(7) 100%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 9	CORE	PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What proportion of your AUM is covered by your formal policies or guidelines on climate change, human rights, or other systematic sustainability issues?

	AUM coverage
(A) Specific guidelines on climate change	(1) for all of our AUM
(B) Specific guidelines on human rights	(1) for all of our AUM
(C) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues	(1) for all of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 10	CORE	OO 8, OO 9, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	2

Per asset class, what percentage of your AUM is covered by your policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship with investees?

☑ (A) Listed equity



#### (1) Percentage of AUM covered

- o (1) >0% to 10%
- o (2) >10% to 20%
- o (3) >20% to 30%
- o (4) >30% to 40%
- o (5) >40% to 50%
- o (6) >50% to 60%
- o (7) >60% to 70%
- o (8) >70% to 80%
- o (9) >80% to 90%
- o (10) >90% to <100%
- **(11) 100%**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 10.1	CORE	OO 9.1, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	2

### What percentage of your listed equity holdings is covered by your guidelines on (proxy) voting?

#### ☑ (A) Actively managed listed equity

- (1) Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote
  - o (1) >0% to 10%
  - o (2) >10% to 20%
  - o (3) >20% to 30%
  - o (4) >30% to 40%
  - o (5) >40% to 50%
  - **●** (6) >50% to 60%

  - o (7) >60% to 70%
  - o (8) >70% to 80%
  - o (9) >80% to 90%
  - o (10) >90% to <100%
  - o (11) 100%
- (2) If your AUM coverage is below 100%, explain why: (Voluntary)

Sienna held at least one share in 738 companies. The scope of Sienna's votes corresponds to European issuers held in SRI labelled funds, eg. 402 companies as of at the start of the campaign.



### **GOVERNANCE**

### **ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Which senior level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation have formal oversight over and accountability for responsible investment?

- ☑ (A) Board members, trustees, or equivalent
- ☑ (B) Senior executive-level staff, or equivalent Specify:

The CEO is personally responsible for the strategy, its objectives, achievement and funding. The CEO has dedicated ESG correspondent appointed to the Sienna IM Board of Directors. The CEO is supported by the Head of ESG, a member of the executive team, who reports to the CEO and is responsible for implementing Sienna IM's ESG strategy and driving the approach of the specialised subsidiaries.

- $\square$  (C) Investment committee, or equivalent
- ☑ (D) Head of department, or equivalent Specify department:

ESG department

o (E) None of the above bodies and roles have oversight over and accountability for responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.1	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2, PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1, 2

Does your organisation's senior level body(ies) or role(s) have formal oversight over and accountability for the elements covered in your responsible investment policy(ies)?



## (1) Board members, trustees, or equivalent

# (2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment	Ø
(B) Guidelines on environmental, social and/or governance factors	Z
(C) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes	Z
(D) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)	<b>☑</b>
(E) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)	<b>☑</b>
(F) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues	<b>☑</b>
(G) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold	Ø
(H) Guidelines on exclusions	Ø
(I) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment	<b>☑</b>
(J) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees	Ø
(K) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement	Z
(L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders	Z



(M) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting	$\square$	
(N) This role has no formal oversight over and accountability for any of the above elements covered in our responsible investment policy(ies)	0	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1-6

Does your organisation have governance processes or structures to ensure that your overall political engagement is aligned with your commitment to the principles of PRI, including any political engagement conducted by third parties on your behalf?



Describe how you do this:

Sienna is decisively committed to its sustainability strategy with the support of its shareholder and senior management. And sustainability is embedded at each level.

Sienna relies on a strong governance system for the development, implementation and monitoring of its sustainability strategy:

- The CEO is responsible for the strategy, its objectives, achievements and funding;
- The CEO has a dedicated ESG correspondent appointed to the Sienna IM Board of Directors;
- The CEO is supported by the Head of ESG, a member of the executive team, who reports directly to the CEO and is responsible for implementing Sienna IM's sustainability strategy and driving the approach of the specialized subsidiaries by providing functional guidance to each ESG manager and approving the programs and communications put in place;
- The CEO leads the ESG Strategic Committee, which brings together the corporate officers of the various product lines as well as members of the Executive Board and the Chief Risk and Compliance Officer ("CRCO");
- The Head of ESG leads the ESG Correspondent Network, which is made up of ESG managers within each of the Group's expertise.

He ensures that these managers report directly to a corporate officer, and that an ESG correspondent is appointed to the Board of Directors of each operating subsidiary;

- $\bullet \ \, \text{The Head of ESG ensures that the group's practices are in line with the support of Sienna IM's CRCO. }$
- As such, this organisation heps and ensure that our overall political engagement is aligned with our commitment to the principles of PRI, including any political engagement conducted by third parties.
- (B) No
- o (C) Not applicable, our organisation does not conduct any form of political engagement directly or through any third parties



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 12	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

## In your organisation, which internal or external roles are responsible for implementing your approach to responsible investment?

#### ☑ (A) Internal role(s)

Specify:

ESG team is in place a group entity level (Sienna IM) composed of one Head of ESG and one ESG project manager in charge of contributing to the development and implementation of the Sienna IM's ESG strategy. The ESG team actively support the different subsidiaires and its dedicated ESG correspondents to define and implement the responsible approach from deal sourcing (exclusion and controversies, ESG risks identification, ESG opportunities,...) to investment monitoring, ownership and exit.

- $\square$  (B) External investment managers, service providers, or other external partners or suppliers
- o (C) We do not have any internal or external roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 13	CORE	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

## Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your board members, trustees, or equivalent?

## (A) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our board members, trustees, or equivalent

Describe: (Voluntary)

Top management of the organisation have collective objectives that include responsible investment KPIs, particularly in relation to the SBTi pathways, This therefore includes both the efforts to decarbonise our organisation itself and our investments.

o (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our board members, trustees, or equivalent

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 14	CORE	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1



Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and are these KPIs linked to compensation?

(A) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)

Indicate whether these responsible investment KPIs are linked to compensation

- **(1)** KPIs are linked to compensation
- o (2) KPIs are not linked to compensation as these roles do not have variable compensation
- o (3) KPIs are not linked to compensation even though these roles have variable compensation

Describe: (Voluntary)

In addition to remuneration policy applicable for employees involved in the fund management with sustainable investment objectives through the implementation of E and S criteria, individual appraisals and objectives may include qualitative and quantitative elements relating to the achievement of the sustainable investment objectives, the profit-sharing agreement explicitly takes into account social parameters such as gender equality and access to training and the ambition to integrate decarbonation / net-zero trajectory objective.

o (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 15	PLUS	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

What responsible investment competencies do you regularly include in the training of senior-level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation?

	(1) Board members, trustees or equivalent	(2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department or equivalent
(A) Specific competence in climate change mitigation and adaptation		
(B) Specific competence in investors' responsibility to respect human rights		☑
(C) Specific competence in other systematic sustainability issues		☑



(D) The regular training of this senior leadership role does not include any of the above responsible investment competencies

0 0

#### **EXTERNAL REPORTING AND DISCLOSURES**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 16	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

#### What elements are included in your regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of your AUM?

- $\square$  (A) Any changes in policies related to responsible investment
- $\square$  (B) Any changes in governance or oversight related to responsible investment
- ☑ (C) Stewardship-related commitments
- ☑ (D) Progress towards stewardship-related commitments
- ☑ (E) Climate-related commitments
- ☑ (F) Progress towards climate-related commitments
- ☑ (G) Human rights-related commitments
- ☐ (H) Progress towards human rights—related commitments
- ☑ (I) Commitments to other systematic sustainability issues
- ☑ (J) Progress towards commitments on other systematic sustainability issues
- o (K) We do not include any of these elements in our regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 17	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose climate-related information in line with the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures' (TCFD) recommendations?

- ☑ (A) Yes, including all governance-related recommended disclosures
- ☑ (B) Yes, including all strategy-related recommended disclosures
- ☑ (C) Yes, including all risk management-related recommended disclosures
- ☑ (D) Yes, including all applicable metrics and targets-related recommended disclosures
- (E) None of the above Add link(s):



https://www.sienna-gestion.com/sites/default/files/2022-10/Rapport%20sur%20l%E2%80%99Article%2029%20de%20la%20loi%20relative%20a%CC%80%20l%E2%80%99e%CC%81nergie%20et%20au%20climat.pdf

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 18	PLUS	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

# During the reporting year, to which international responsible investment standards, frameworks, or regulations did your organisation report?

- ☐ (A) Disclosures against the European Union's Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR)
- $\square$  (B) Disclosures against the European Union's Taxonomy
- ☐ (C) Disclosures against the CFA's ESG Disclosures Standard
- ☑ (D) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations Specify:

As part of the Article 29 energy-climate law report which aimed at making market participants more transparent about their non-financial practices, in particular the consideration of climate and biodiversity risks. Sienna IM expertises reports on an annual basis extra-financial, mainly climate related information.

#### Link to example of public disclosures

https://www.sienna-gestion.com/sites/default/files/2022-10/Rapport%20sur%20l%E2%80%99Article%2029%20de%20la%20loi%20relative%20a%CC%80%20l%E2%80%99e%CC%81ner gie%20et%20au%20climat.pdf

#### ☑ (E) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations Specify:

As part of the Article 29 energy-climate law report which aimed at making market participants more transparent about their non-financial practices, in particular the consideration of climate and biodiversity risks. Sienna IM expertises reports on an annual basis extra-financial, mainly climate related information.

#### Link to example of public disclosures

https://www.sienna-im.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/07/sienna-private-credit\_rapport-dimpact-2022\_partie-b\_vf.pdf

- $\square$  (F) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations
- $\square$  (G) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 19	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6



During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement?

(A) Yes, we publicly disclosed our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement

Add link(s):

https://www.sienna-im.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/sienna-im list-of-initiatives 2023 final en.pdf

- (B) No, we did not publicly disclose our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- o (C) Not applicable, we were not members in or supporters of any trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement during the reporting year

#### **STRATEGY**

#### CAPITAL ALLOCATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 20	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

#### Which elements do your organisation-level exclusions cover?

- ☑ (A) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular sectors, products or services
- ☑ (B) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular regions or countries
- ☑ (C) Exclusions based on minimum standards of business practice aligned with international norms such as the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, the International Bill of Human Rights, UN Security Council sanctions or the UN Global Compact
- ☑ (D) Exclusions based on our organisation's climate change commitments
- ☑ (E) Other elements

Specify:

Other specific exclusion criteria may be applied at fund or mandate level, due to specific client requests, fund positioning and/or specific label requirements.

In addition, while industries with potential exclusions such as palm oil, gambling, GMOs or deforestation have not been included in the above list, they remain inherently exposed to significant sustainability risks that may constrain investment. These potential investment opportunities will therefore be evaluated on a case-by-case basis by Sienna's ESG research professionals.

o (F) Not applicable; our organisation does not have any organisation-level exclusions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 21	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

How does your responsible investment approach influence your strategic asset allocation process?

☑ (A) We incorporate ESG factors into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns



Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- o (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- o (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation

☑ (B) We incorporate climate change-related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- o (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- o (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- ☑ (C) We incorporate human rights-related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- o (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- o (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- ☑ (D) We incorporate risks and opportunities related to other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- o (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- $\circ$  (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation

Specify: (Voluntary)

- $\circ$  (E) We do not incorporate ESG factors, climate change, human rights or other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- o (F) Not applicable; we do not have a strategic asset allocation process

#### STEWARDSHIP: OVERALL STEWARDSHIP STRATEGY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 22	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

For the majority of AUM within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?



#### (1) Listed equity

(A) Maximise our portfolio-level risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.

(B) Maximise our individual investments' risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we do not seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.

0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 23	PLUS	OO 5, OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

How does your organisation, or the external service providers or external managers acting on your behalf, prioritise the investees or other entities on which to focus its stewardship efforts?

Sienna IM has identified 3 sustainability topics that are systematically addressed: climate, biodiversity and DE&I. As such our stewardship efforts concentrate on these themes.

As part of the various initiatives to which our organization contributes, we have the ability to identify and prioritize the investees on which to focus our stewardship efforts, such as with Climate Action 100+ for instance, whose objective of this action is to persuade the most polluting and most gas-emitting companies greenhouse gases to take concrete measures to reduce their environmental impact and accelerate their transition to more sustainable practices.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 24	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2



Which of the following best describes your organisation's default position, or the position of the external service providers or external managers acting on your behalf, concerning collaborative stewardship efforts?

- o (B) We collaborate on a case-by-case basis
- o (C) Other
- o (D) We do not join collaborative stewardship efforts

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 24.1	PLUS	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

Elaborate on your organisation's default position on collaborative stewardship, or the position of the external service providers or external investment managers acting on your behalf, including any other details on your overall approach to collaboration.

We are convinced of the power and participation to collaborative stewardship, which was the case over the reporting period with, for example, Climate Action+ whose objective of this action is to persuade the most polluting and most gas-emitting companies greenhouse gases to take concrete measures to reduce their environmental impact and accelerate their transition to more sustainable practices.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 25	PLUS	OO 5, OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

Rank the channels that are most important for your organisation in achieving its stewardship objectives.

☑ (A) Internal resources, e.g. stewardship team, investment team, ESG team, or staff
Select from the list:
o <b>4</b>
o <b>5</b>
$\square$ (B) External investment managers, third-party operators and/or external property managers, if applicable
☑ (C) External paid specialist stewardship services (e.g. engagement overlay services or, in private markets,
sustainability consultants) excluding investment managers, real assets third-party operators, or external propert
managers
Select from the list:
o <b>4</b>
o <b>5</b>
☐ (D) Informal or unstructured collaborations with investors or other entities

☑ (E) Formal collaborative engagements, e.g. PRI-coordinated collaborative engagements, Climate Action 100+, or



similar

Select from the list:

- **1**
- 0 4
- o 5
- $\circ\hspace{0.2cm}$  (F) We do not use any of these channels

### STEWARDSHIP: (PROXY) VOTING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 29	CORE	OO 9, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

When you use external service providers to give recommendations, how do you ensure those recommendations are consistent with your organisation's (proxy) voting policy?

☑ (A) Before voting is executed, we review external service providers' voting recommendations for controversial and high-profile votes

Select from the below list:

- o (2) in a majority of cases
- o (3) in a minority of cases

☑ (B) Before voting is executed, we review external service providers' voting recommendations where the application of our voting policy is unclear

Select from the below list:

- o (2) in a majority of cases
- o (3) in a minority of cases
- o (D) We do not review external service providers' voting recommendations
- o (E) Not applicable; we do not use external service providers to give voting recommendations

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 30	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

#### How is voting addressed in your securities lending programme?

- o (A) We recall all securities for voting on all ballot items
- o (B) When a vote is deemed important according to pre-established criteria (e.g. high stake in the company), we recall all our securities for voting
- o (C) Other
- o (D) We do not recall our securities for voting purposes
- (E) Not applicable; we do not have a securities lending programme

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 31	CORE	OO 9.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

For the majority of votes cast over which you have discretion to vote, which of the following best describes your decision making approach regarding shareholder resolutions (or that of your external service provider(s) if decision making is delegated to them)?

- (A) We vote in favour of resolutions expected to advance progress on our stewardship priorities, including affirming a company's good practice or prior commitment
- o (B) We vote in favour of resolutions expected to advance progress on our stewardship priorities, but only if the investee company has not already publicly committed to the action(s) requested in the proposal
- o (C) We vote in favour of shareholder resolutions only as an escalation measure
- o (D) We vote in favour of the investee company management's recommendations by default
- o (E) Not applicable; we do not vote on shareholder resolutions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 32	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

During the reporting year, how did your organisation, or your external service provider(s), pre-declare voting intentions prior to voting in annual general meetings (AGMs) or extraordinary general meetings (EGMs)?

- ☐ (A) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system on the Resolution Database
- $\square$  (B) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly by other means, e.g. through our website
- ☐ (C) We privately communicated our voting decision to investee companies prior to the AGM/EGM
- (D) We did not privately or publicly communicate our voting intentions prior to the AGM/EGM
- o (E) Not applicable; we did not cast any (proxy) votes during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 33	CORE	OO 9	PGS 33.1	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

After voting has taken place, do you publicly disclose your (proxy) voting decisions or those made on your behalf by your external service provider(s), company by company and in a central source?

- o (A) Yes, for all (proxy) votes
- o (B) Yes, for the majority of (proxy) votes
- o (C) Yes, for a minority of (proxy) votes
- (D) No, we do not publicly report our (proxy) voting decisions company-by-company and in a central source



#### Explain why:

We do not publicly report our voting decisions company-by-company due to the number of companies in our portfolios. However, a voting report presenting the scope, evolution of our votes, external resolutions, main refusals etc is available on our website.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 34	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

After voting has taken place, did your organisation, and/or the external service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicate the rationale for your voting decisions during the reporting year?

	(1) In cases where we abstained or voted against management recommendations	(2) In cases where we voted against an ESG-related shareholder resolution
(A) Yes, we publicly disclosed the rationale		
(B) Yes, we privately communicated the rationale to the company		
(C) We did not publicly or privately communicate the rationale, or we did not track this information	•	•
(D) Not applicable; we did not abstain or vote against management recommendations or ESG-related shareholder resolutions during the reporting year	0	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 35	PLUS	00 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2



#### How does your organisation ensure vote confirmation, i.e. that your votes have been cast and counted correctly?

An external service provider is used for vote execution and confirmation.

Moreover, an internal control process is implemented in order to ensure correct vote execution, aligned with our voting policy.

#### STEWARDSHIP: ESCALATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 36	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Escalation	2

For your listed equity holdings, what escalation measures did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use in the past three years?

## (1) Listed equity (A) Joining or broadening an existing collaborative engagement **√** or creating a new one (B) Filing, co-filing, and/or submitting a shareholder resolution $\checkmark$ or proposal (C) Publicly engaging the entity, $\sqrt{\phantom{a}}$ e.g. signing an open letter (D) Voting against the re-election $\checkmark$ of one or more board directors (E) Voting against the chair of the board of directors, or equivalent, e.g. lead independent director (F) Divesting (G) Litigation (H) Other



#### STEWARDSHIP: ENGAGEMENT WITH POLICY MAKERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	PGS 39.1, PGS 39.2	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

Did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach during the reporting year?

- ☑ (A) Yes, we engaged with policy makers directly
- ☑ (B) Yes, we engaged with policy makers through the leadership of or active participation in working groups or collaborative initiatives, including via the PRI
- ☑ (C) Yes, we were members of, supported, or were in another way affiliated with third party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policy makers, excluding the PRI
- o (D) We did not engage with policy makers directly or indirectly during the reporting year beyond our membership in the PRI

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.1	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, what methods did you, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use to engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach?

- ☑ (A) We participated in 'sign-on' letters
- ☑ (B) We responded to policy consultations
- $\square$  (C) We provided technical input via government- or regulator-backed working groups
- (D) We engaged policy makers on our own initiative Describe:

Several meetings and exchanges have taken place with the French Treasury to discuss Sienna's initiative concerning the idea of state-guaranteed green transition bonds. Since then, several associations have set up working groups on this subject.

☐ (E) Other methods



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.2	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose details of your engagement with policy makers conducted as part of your responsible investment approach, including through external investment managers or service providers?

- $\square$  (A) We publicly disclosed all our policy positions
- (B) We publicly disclosed details of our engagements with policy makers Add link(s):

https://www.sienna-im.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/sienna-im\_list-of-initiatives\_2023\_final\_en.pdf https://www.sienna-im.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/07/sienna-private-credit rapport-dimpact-2022 vf.pdf

o (C) No, we did not publicly disclose details of our engagement with policy makers conducted as part of our responsible investment approach during the reporting year

#### STEWARDSHIP: EXAMPLES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 40	PLUS	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Examples	2

Provide examples of stewardship activities that you conducted individually or collaboratively during the reporting year that contributed to desired changes in the investees, policy makers or other entities with which you interacted.

/ A \	Lyoma	_	1.
(A)	Examp	ш	т.

Title of stewardship activity:

Improvement of the climate and biodiversity strategy

- (1) Led by
  - o (1) Internally led
  - **⊚ (2) External service provider led**
  - o (3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager
- (2) Primary focus of stewardship activity
  - ☑ (1) Environmental factors
  - ☐ (2) Social factors
  - $\square$  (3) Governance factors
- (3) Asset class(es)
  - ☑ (1) Listed equity
  - $\square$  (2) Fixed income
  - $\square$  (3) Private equity
  - ☐ (4) Real estate☐ (5) Infrastructure
  - ☐ (6) Hedge funds
  - ☐ (7) Forestry
  - ☐ (8) Farmland
  - ☐ (9) Other



(4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution.

The action taken (collective action led by the FiR: Forum pour l'Investissement responsable) with the investee company (Engie: energy sector) took the form of the signing of a letter of commitment by several investors.

It aimed to ask the investee company for additional actions for a climate strategy and more robust biodiversity:

- ➤ In the short term:
- o Communicate a detailed transition plan to achieve defined goals,
- in particular that of achieving 100% green gas by 2045,
- o Clarify the policy and position on lobbying,
- o Detail the ESG conditions for the sale of investee's stake in a power plant to coal,
- o Define and publish an objective and an action plan for methane, a major source emissions related to the production and use of gas.
- ➤ In the medium and long term:
- o Alignment of Engie's activities on a 1.5°C trajectory,
- o Indicators monitored on the issue of just transition,
- o Objectives and monitoring indicators for the issue of biodiversity,
- o Information on the necessary evolution of agricultural and forestry systems in France to achieve 100% renewable gas.
- (B) Example 2:

Title of stewardship activity:

Engagement letter and resolution proposal

- (1) Led by
  - o (1) Internally led
  - (2) External service provider led
  - (3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager
- (2) Primary focus of stewardship activity
  - ☑ (1) Environmental factors
  - ☐ (2) Social factors
  - ☐ (3) Governance factors
- (3) Asset class(es)
  - ☑ (1) Listed equity
  - ☐ (2) Fixed income
  - ☐ (3) Private equity
  - ☐ (4) Real estate
  - ☐ (5) Infrastructure
  - ☐ (6) Hedge funds
  - ☐ (7) Forestry
  - ☐ (8) Farmland
  - ☐ (9) Other
- (4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution.



Sienna participated in the actions carried out in 2022 by Climate Action 100+ at destination of TotalEnergies. Two actions were carried out: the signing of a collective letter of commitment sent to the company and participation in the filing of a resolution alongside other investors. In addition to the commitment letter,, Sienna also participated to the filing of a draft resolution in collaboration with other investors. This resolution was intended to modifyi the articles of association of the company so that the latter publishes the additional elements allowing investors to carry out a climate analysis and submit these elements complementary to the advisory vote of the General Assembly each year.

Title of stewardship activity:	
Gender diveristy	
(1) Led by	
o (1) Internally led	
o (3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager	
(2) Primary focus of stewardship activity	
$\square$ (1) Environmental factors	
$\square$ (2) Social factors	
☑ (3) Governance factors	
(3) Asset class(es)	
☑ (1) Listed equity	
☐ (2) Fixed income	
☐ (3) Private equity	
☐ (4) Real estate	
☐ (5) Infrastructure	
☐ (6) Hedge funds	
$\square$ (7) Forestry $\square$ (8) Farmland	
□ (9) Other	
(4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual cont	rihution
(1) Decemption of the deathly and what was demoted to conduct and the death of your marriadal cont	ibation.
Sienna engaged actions (collective action led by Ethifinance) through dialogues with 6 investees aiming to have an impact a	and
improve their gender diversity policy.	
(D) Example 4:	
Title of stewardship activity:	
(1) Led by	
o (1) Internally led	
o (2) External service provider led	
o (3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager	
(2) Primary focus of stewardship activity	
$\square$ (1) Environmental factors	
(2) Social factors	
☐ (3) Governance factors	
(3) Asset class(es)	
☐ (1) Listed equity	
☐ (2) Fixed income	
☐ (3) Private equity	
☐ (4) Real estate	
$\square$ (5) Infrastructure $\square$ (6) Hedge funds	
☐ (7) Forestry	
☐ (8) Farmland	
□ (9) Other	
_ (0, 0000	



(4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution.
(E) Example 5:
Title of stewardship activity:
(1) Led by
o (1) Internally led
o (2) External service provider led
o (3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager
(2) Primary focus of stewardship activity
$\square$ (1) Environmental factors
☐ (2) Social factors
$\square$ (3) Governance factors
(3) Asset class(es)
☐ (1) Listed equity
☐ (2) Fixed income
$\square$ (3) Private equity
$\square$ (4) Real estate
$\square$ (5) Infrastructure
$\square$ (6) Hedge funds
☐ (7) Forestry
□ (8) Farmland

#### **CLIMATE CHANGE**

☐ (9) Other

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41	CORE	N/A	PGS 41.1	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

(4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution.

#### Has your organisation identified climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments?

#### ☑ (A) Yes, within our standard planning horizon

Specify the risks and opportunities identified and your relevant standard planning horizon:

Sienna has identified climate-related risks and opportunities affecting our investments.

Regarding risks: According to the Net Zero scenario by 2050 which aims to respect the Paris Agreement, the IEA considers essential a very strong expansion of renewable energies accompanied by a gradual abandonment of fossil fuels. By 2050, the IEA projects a reduction of 90% in the consumption of coal, 75% in that of oil and 55% for the gas. In order to achieve this neutrality, no further investment should be made in fossil energies.

To this end, Sienna wants to become a player in the fight against climate change by formalizing a climate strategy, one of the axes of which is its coal exit policy.



This gradual withdrawal from coal makes it possible to reduce its exposure to climate risks, and in particular transition risk. Sienna has the ambition to reduce its investments in the coal business to

a final exit in 2030. This exclusion of coal will allow us to align with the coal investment thresholds defined in the 2 Degree Energy Mix Generation Mix OECD 2030 drawn up by S&P Global Trucost, in compliance with the work of the IEA. In addition, Sienna will undertake to respect the other thresholds on fossil fuels (all direct and indirect activities concerned) as defined by SBTi. Regarding opportunities: On top of that, several entities at Sienna have launched products directed toward the environmental transition such as: low carbon funds and green bonds SRI funds by Sienna Gestion or Energy transition funds and Obligations Relance fund by Sienna Private Credit.

- $\square$  (B) Yes, beyond our standard planning horizon
- o (C) No, we have not identified climate-related risks and/or opportunities affecting our investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41.1	CORE	PGS 41	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Does your organisation integrate climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments in its overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products?

# (a) Yes, our overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products integrate climate-related risks and opportunities

Describe how climate-related risks and opportunities have affected or are expected to affect your investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products:

Financing the fight against change climate is essential. As an actor of the financing of the economy, the climate reated risks and opportunities are mainly integrated through:

- our carbon exit strategy,
- reduction of carbon footprint supported by the increase of climate transition investments and thematic investments such as low carbon, green bonds,... and,
- implementation of dialogue and engagement policy with issuers
- o (B) No, our organisation has not yet integrated climate-related risks and opportunities into its investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 42	PLUS	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Which sectors are covered by your organisation's strategy addressing high-emitting sectors?

☑ (A) Coal

Describe your strategy:



Our responsible investment approach and strategy is based on a sectoral and normative exclusion policy, excluding among others all investments in: Coal and unconventional oil & gas. Moreover, we plan to improve our exclusion policy in a near future to exclude all companies concerned by Fossil Fuel activity (incl. production, transportation, storage,...). We also pay a particular attention to high emitting companies in our portfolios in order to ensure a convergence of our financed emissions towards the Paris Agreement.

#### 

Describe your strategy:

Our responsible investment approach and strategy is based on a sectoral and normative exclusion policy, excluding among others all investments in: Coal and unconventional oil & gas. Moreover, we plan to improve our exclusion policy in a near future to exclude all companies concerned by Fossil Fuel activity (incl. production, transportation, storage,...). We also pay a particular attention to high emitting companies in our portfolios in order to ensure a convergence of our financed emissions towards the Paris Agreement.

#### ☑ (C) Oil

Describe your strategy:

Our responsible investment approach and strategy is based on a sectoral and normative exclusion policy, excluding among others all investments in: Coal and unconventional oil & gas. Moreover, we plan to improve our exclusion policy in a near future to exclude all companies concerned by Fossil Fuel activity (incl. production, transportation, storage,...). We also pay a particular attention to high emitting companies in our portfolios in order to ensure a convergence of our financed emissions towards the Paris Agreement.

☐ (D) Utilities
☐ (E) Cement
□ (F) Steel
$\square$ (G) Aviation
$\square$ (H) Heavy duty road
$\square$ (I) Light duty road
☐ (J) Shipping
☐ (K) Aluminium
(L) Agriculture, forestry, fisher
$\square$ (M) Chemicals
$\square$ (N) Construction and buildings
$\square$ (O) Textile and leather
□ (P) Water
☑ (Q) Other
Specify:

We plan to improve our exclusion policy in a near future to exclude all companies concerned by Fossil Fuel activity (incl. production, transportation, storage,...)

Describe your strategy:

o (R) We do not have a strategy addressing high-emitting sectors

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 43	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General



Has your organisation assessed the resilience of its investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one in which the average temperature rise is held to below 2 degrees Celsius (preferably to 1.5 degrees Celsius) above pre-industrial levels?

□ (A) \	es, using the Inevitable Policy Response Forecast Policy Scenario (FPS) or Required Policy Scenario (RPS)
□ (B) \	es, using the One Earth Climate Model scenario
□ (C) `	Yes, using the International Energy Agency (IEA) Net Zero scenario
□ (D) `	Yes, using other scenarios
<b>● (E)</b> N	No, we have not assessed the resilience of our investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one
that ho	olds temperature rise to below 2 degrees

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 44	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

# Does your organisation have a process to identify, assess, and manage the climate-related risks (potentially) affecting your investments?

#### ☑ (A) Yes, we have a process to identify and assess climate-related risks

#### (1) Describe your process

ESG risks and especially climate related ones are such today that they must be identified, managed and reduced by issuers. For each sector, the most material ESG issues are identified and weighted using the SIENNA benchmark. Non-financial rating agencies assist us in this identification. SIENNA's ESG framework is made up of 3 pillars, 8 themes and 18 issues.

An overall ESG risk score is assigned to each issuer, derived from weighted risk scores for each ESG issue, which are broken down as follows:

- ☐ A score relating to the exposure of the issuer's value to one or more risks associated with ESG issues.
- ☐ A score relating to the issuer's management of these risks through the analysis of policies, management systems, results obtained and controversies. This management risk is itself composed of an "unmanageable" risk because it is inherent to the issuer's activity and cannot be managed, and an "unmanaged" risk that could be managed.

The issuer's ESG risk score is therefore the result of an unmanageable risk and an unmanaged risk.

#### (2) Describe how this process is integrated into your overall risk management

SIENNA has set up a system and an organisation that enables all managers (equity and fixed income teams) to take into account the risks associated with ESG issues including climate -related risks. In addition to the management teams, SIENNA has a team dedicated to compliance, internal control and risk, independent of the operational units. Its director is a member of the Management Board. This team regularly carries out due diligence to verify the reliability of the SRI process and compliance with the investment constraints applicable to SRI funds, including those relating to ESG controversies. This team is also responsible for validating all the structuring documents formalised within SIENNA on the SRI approach (SRI policy, procedures, reports, etc.).

Non-financial risks can be of different types:

- ☐ Sectoral risks: risks associated with the intrinsic activity of companies.
- ☐ Risks associated with ESG issues: risks associated with the absence or limited consideration of ESG issues by the issuer.
- Controversy risks: risks related to the occurrence of a controversy in which the issuer is involved.
- $\square$  (B) Yes, we have a process to manage climate-related risks
- o (C) No, we do not have any processes to identify, assess, or manage the climate-related risks affecting our investments



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 45	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

During the reporting year, which of the following climate risk metrics or variables affecting your investments did your organisation use and disclose?

	xposure to physical ris	physic	to	Exposure	4) E	$\Box$ (
--	-------------------------	--------	----	----------	------	----------

- $\square$  (B) Exposure to transition risk
- $\square$  (C) Internal carbon price

#### **☑** (D) Total carbon emissions

- (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
  - o (1) Metric or variable used
  - o (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
  - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- (2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

https://www.sienna-im.com/about/

#### ☑ (E) Weighted average carbon intensity

- (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
  - o (1) Metric or variable used
  - o (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
  - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- (2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

https://www.sienna-gestion.com/notre-finance-responsable

#### ☑ (F) Avoided emissions

- (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
  - o (1) Metric or variable used
  - o (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
  - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- (2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

https://www.sienna-gestion.com/tous-nos-fonds?thematique=Environnement

☐ (G) Implied Temperature Rise (ITR)
$\square$ (H) Non-ITR measure of portfolio alignment with UNFCCC Paris Agreement goals
(I) Proportion of accepts or other hypiness activities aligned with alimete related on

- $\square$  (I) Proportion of assets or other business activities aligned with climate-related opportunities
- $\Box$  (J) Other metrics or variables
- o (K) Our organisation did not use or disclose any climate risk metrics or variables affecting our investments during the reporting year



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 46	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

# During the reporting year, did your organisation disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, and/or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions?

#### ☑ (A) Scope 1 emissions

- (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology
  - o (1) Metric disclosed
  - **(2)** Metric and methodology disclosed
- (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

https://www.sienna-im.com/fr/a-propos/#esg

#### ☑ (B) Scope 2 emissions

- (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology
  - o (1) Metric disclosed
  - (2) Metric and methodology disclosed
- (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

https://www.sienna-im.com/fr/a-propos/#esg

- ☐ (C) Scope 3 emissions (including financed emissions)
- o (D) Our organisation did not disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions during the reporting year

#### **SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- (A) Yes, we have identified one or more specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities
- o (B) No, we have not yet identified the sustainability outcomes connected to any of our investment activities



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.1	CORE	PGS 47	N/A	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Which widely recognised frameworks has your organisation used to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

☑ (A) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets
☑ (B) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement
☑ (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)
☑ (D) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business
Conduct for Institutional Investors
☑ (E) The EU Taxonomy
$\square$ (F) Other relevant taxonomies
$\square$ (G) The International Bill of Human Rights
$\square$ (H) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core
conventions
$\square$ (I) The Convention on Biological Diversity
$\square$ (J) Other international framework(s)
$\square$ (K) Other regional framework(s)
$\square$ (L) Other sectoral/issue-specific framework(s)
(M) Our organisation did not use any widely recognised frameworks to identify the intended and unintended sustainability

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.2	CORE	PGS 47	PGS 48	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

What are the primary methods that your organisation has used to determine the most important intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

<b>7</b>	<b>(Δ)</b>	Identify	sustainability	outcomes tha	at are c	losely	linked to	our core	investment	activities
~	(a)	iuciiiii	/ Sustamaniiity	Outcomes me	ai ai e c	JUSCIY	IIIINEU LU	our core	HIVESUIICH	activities

☐ (B) Consult with key clients and/or beneficiaries to align with their priorities

outcomes connected to its investment activities

- ☑ (C) Assess which actual or potential negative outcomes for people are most severe based on their scale, scope, and irremediable character
- ☑ (D) Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to systematic sustainability issues
- $\square$  (E) Analyse the input from different stakeholders (e.g. affected communities, civil society, trade unions or similar)
- $\square$  (F) Understand the geographical relevance of specific sustainability outcome objectives
- $\square$  (G) Other method
- $\circ$  (H) We have not yet determined the most important sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 48	CORE	PGS 47.2	PGS 48.1, SO 1	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Has your organisation taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities, including to prevent and mitigate actual and potential negative outcomes?

- (A) Yes, we have taken action on some of the specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities
- o (B) No, we have not yet taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 48.1	PLUS	PGS 48	N/A	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Why has your organisation taken action on specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- ☑ (A) We believe that taking action on sustainability outcomes is relevant to our financial risks and returns over both short- and long-term horizons
- ☑ (B) We believe that taking action on sustainability outcomes, although not yet relevant to our financial risks and returns, will become so over a long-time horizon
- $\square$  (C) We have been requested to do so by our clients and/or beneficiaries
- ☑ (D) We want to prepare for and respond to legal and regulatory developments that are increasingly addressing sustainability outcomes
- ☑ (E) We want to protect our reputation, particularly in the event of negative sustainability outcomes connected to investments
- ☐ (F) We want to enhance our social licence-to-operate (i.e. the trust of beneficiaries, clients, and other stakeholders)
- ☐ (G) We believe that taking action on sustainability outcomes in parallel to financial return goals has merit in its own right
- ☐ (H) Other



#### **HUMAN RIGHTS**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 49	PLUS	PGS 47	PGS 49.1	PUBLIC	Human rights	1, 2

During the reporting year, what steps did your organisation take to identify and take action on the actual and potentially negative outcomes for people connected to your investment activities?

☑ (A) We assessed the human rights context of our potential and/or existing investments and projected how this could connect our organisation to negative human rights outcomes

Explain how these activities were conducted:

Recognizing the provisions included in the UN Global Compact, the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, and the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, Sienna assesses the behavior of organizations in accordance with these frameworks and excludes investments in organizations involved in severe violations of these principles, the seriousness of which is assessed according to the nature and extent of the impact under consideration.

- $\square$  (B) We assessed whether individuals at risk or already affected might be at heightened risk of harm
- $\Box$  (C) We consulted with individuals and groups who were at risk or already affected, their representatives and/or other relevant stakeholders such as human rights experts
- $\Box$  (D) We took other steps to assess and manage the actual and potentially negative outcomes for people connected to our investment activities
- (E) We did not identify and take action on the actual and potentially negative outcomes for people connected to any of our investment activities during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 49.1	PLUS	PGS 49	N/A	PUBLIC	Human rights	1, 2

During the reporting year, which stakeholder groups did your organisation include when identifying and taking action on the actual and potentially negative outcomes for people connected to your investment activities?

☑ (A) Workers

Sector(s) for which each stakeholder group was included

- ☑ (1) Energy
- ☑ (2) Materials
- ☑ (3) Industrials
- ☑ (4) Consumer discretionary
- ☑ (5) Consumer staples
- ☑ (6) Healthcare
- ☑ (7) Finance
- ☑ (8) Information technology
- **☑** (9) Communication services
- ☑ (10) Utilities
- ☑ (11) Real estate
- ☑ (B) Communities



Sector(s) for which each stakeholder group was included

- ☑ (1) Energy
- ☑ (2) Materials
- ☑ (3) Industrials
- ☑ (4) Consumer discretionary
- **☑** (6) Healthcare
- ☑ (7) Finance
- ☑ (8) Information technology
- ☑ (9) Communication services
- ☑ (10) Utilities
- ☑ (11) Real estate

#### ☑ (C) Customers and end-users

Sector(s) for which each stakeholder group was included

- ☑ (1) Energy
- ☑ (2) Materials
- ☑ (3) Industrials
- ☑ (4) Consumer discretionary
- ☑ (5) Consumer staples
- **☑** (6) Healthcare
- ☑ (7) Finance
- ☑ (8) Information technology
- **☑** (9) Communication services
- ☑ (10) Utilities
- ☑ (11) Real estate
- $\square$  (D) Other stakeholder groups

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 49.2	PLUS	PGS 47	N/A	PUBLIC	Human rights	1, 2

# During the reporting year, what information sources did your organisation use to identify the actual and potentially negative outcomes for people connected to its investment activities?

#### ☑ (A) Corporate disclosures

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

The analysis of issuers' extra-financial performance on ESG issues is based on the analysis of public rating documents made available by the issuers, exchanges (questionnaires, orals) that we may have with these issuers (directly or indirectly through agencies, NGOs...), but also on the ESG controversies that may emerge that we source from external data providers and news flow.

An ESG controversy therefore refers to any event relating to one of the ESG issues discussed above that impact one or more of the issuer's stakeholders, such as the company's employees suppliers or subcontractors, the environment, local communities, customers, the State, shareholders.

#### ☑ (B) Media reports

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

News flow is very useful to identify a potential controversy. When arising, we double check with other sources (NGOs, external data providers, the company itself...)

☑ (C) Reports and other information from NGOs and human rights institutions



Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

The analysis of issuers' extra-financial performance on ESG issues is based on the analysis of public rating documents made available by the issuers, exchanges (questionnaires, orals) that we may have with these issuers (directly or indirectly through agencies, NGOs...), but also on the ESG controversies that may emerge that we source from external data providers and news flow.

An ESG controversy therefore refers to any event relating to one of the ESG issues discussed above that impact one or more of the issuer's stakeholders, such as the company's employees suppliers or subcontractors, the environment, local communities, customers, the State, shareholders.

#### ☑ (D) Country reports, for example, by multilateral institutions, e.g. OECD, World Bank

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

The analysis of issuers' extra-financial performance on ESG issues is based on the analysis of public rating documents made available by the issuers, exchanges (questionnaires, orals) that we may have with these issuers (directly or indirectly through agencies, NGOs...), but also on the ESG controversies that may emerge that we source from external data providers and news flow.

An ESG controversy therefore refers to any event relating to one of the ESG issues discussed above that impact one or more of the issuer's stakeholders, such as the company's employees suppliers or subcontractors, the environment, local communities, customers, the State, shareholders.

#### ☑ (E) Data provider scores or benchmarks

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

The analysis of issuers' extra-financial performance on ESG issues is based on the analysis of public rating documents made available by the issuers, exchanges (questionnaires, orals) that we may have with these issuers (directly or indirectly through agencies, NGOs...), but also on the ESG controversies that may emerge that we source from external data providers and news flow.

An ESG controversy therefore refers to any event relating to one of the ESG issues discussed above that impact one or more of the issuer's stakeholders, such as the company's employees suppliers or subcontractors, the environment, local communities, customers, the State, shareholders.

#### ☑ (F) Human rights violation alerts

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

The analysis of issuers' extra-financial performance on ESG issues is based on the analysis of public rating documents made available by the issuers, exchanges (questionnaires, orals) that we may have with these issuers (directly or indirectly through agencies, NGOs...), but also on the ESG controversies that may emerge that we source from external data providers and news flow.

An ESG controversy therefore refers to any event relating to one of the ESG issues discussed above that impact one or more of the issuer's stakeholders, such as the company's employees suppliers or subcontractors, the environment, local communities, customers, the State, shareholders.

#### ☑ (G) Sell-side research

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

The analysis of issuers' extra-financial performance on ESG issues is also based on the analysis of sell-side researchs from several brokers such as: Exane, Oddo, CIC and Stifel.

An ESG controversy therefore refers to any event relating to one of the ESG issues discussed above that impact one or more of the issuer's stakeholders, such as the company's employees suppliers or subcontractors, the environment, local communities, customers, the State, shareholders.

#### ☑ (H) Investor networks or other investors

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

Sienna is part of some investor networks, such as AFG, France Invest. Or the French SIF (FIR) These associations are very good sources to get information on companies. Sienna also relies on those sources of information.

sourc	urces to get information on companies. Sienna also relies on those sources of information.	
` '	ation provided directly by affected stakeholders or their representatives media analysis	



# MANAGER SELECTION, APPOINTMENT AND MONITORING (SAM)

## **OVERALL APPROACH**

#### **EXTERNAL INVESTMENT MANAGERS**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 1	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	External investment managers	4

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, which responsible investment aspects does your organisation consider important in the assessment of external investment managers?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(3) Fixed income (active)
Organisation		
(A) Commitment to and experience in responsible investment	☑	
(B) Responsible investment policy(ies)	☑	
(C) Governance structure and senior-level oversight and accountability	☑	
People and Culture		
(D) Adequate resourcing and incentives	☑	
(E) Staff competencies and experience in responsible investment	<b></b> ✓	
Investment Process		



(F) Incorporation of material ESG factors in the investment process		
(G) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in the investment process	☑	
(H) Incorporation of material ESG factors and ESG risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in portfolio risk assessment		
Stewardship		
(I) Policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship		
(J) Policy(ies) or guidelines on (proxy) voting		
(K) Use of stewardship tools and activities		<b>☑</b>
(L) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in stewardship practices		
(M) Involvement in collaborative engagement and stewardship initiatives	✓	<b>☑</b>
(N) Engagement with policy makers and other non-investee stakeholders		
(O) Results of stewardship activities		
Performance and Reporting		
(P) ESG disclosure in regular client reporting		Z



(Q) Inclusion of ESG factors in contractual agreements		$\square$
(R) We do not consider any of the above responsible investment aspects important in the assessment of external investment managers	0	0

#### SERVICE PROVIDERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 2	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Service providers	4

Which responsible investment aspects does your organisation consider important when assessing all service providers that advise you in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external investment managers?

	(A)	Incor	poration	of their	respo	nsible	investment	polic	v into	advisor	v services
_	· ·		poration	01 111011	· CCPC	101010		PONO	,	aaviooi	,

- $\square$  (B) Ability to accommodate our responsible investment policy
- $\square$  (C) Level of staff's responsible investment expertise
- $\square$  (D) Use of data and analytical tools to assess the external investment manager's responsible investment performance
- ☐ (E) Other
- (F) We do not consider any of the above responsible investment aspects important when assessing service providers that advise us in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external investment managers
- **●** (G) Not applicable; we do not engage service providers in the selection, appointment or monitoring of external investment managers

#### **POOLED FUNDS**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 3	PLUS	OO 5.2, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Pooled funds	4

If you invest in pooled funds, describe how you incorporate responsible investment aspects into the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external investment managers.



#### Provide example(s) below

(A) Selection	During the selection process, we provide the potential external investment manager with a questionnaire to enable us to assess the way in which it integrates responsible investment aspects.  This questionnaire covers several aspects such as its exclusion policy, ESG analysis coverage, PAI process, alignment with E & S characteristics, proportion of sustainable investment, governance and internal organisation
(B) Appointment	The results of the questionnaire are analysed so that a decision with the investment management team can be taken on appointment of the external investment manager.
(C) Monitoring	Questionnaire answers are re-assessed on an annual basis through formal meeting with external investment managers, and on a more informal basis during the year, through calls and others exchanges between our investment teams and external investment managers.

#### **SELECTION**

#### RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT PRACTICES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 4	CORE	OO 12, OO 21	SAM 5, SAM 6, SAM 7	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	General

During the reporting year, did your organisation select new external investment managers or allocate new mandates to existing investment managers?

- (A) Yes, we selected external investment managers or allocated new mandates to existing investment managers during the reporting year
- o (B) No, we did not select new external investment managers or allocate new mandates to existing investment managers during the reporting year
- $\circ$  (C) Not applicable; our organisation is in a captive relationship with external investment managers, which applies to 90% or more of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 5	CORE	SAM 4	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	4



During the reporting year, what responsible investment aspects did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, review and evaluate when selecting new external investment managers or allocating new mandates to existing investment managers?

#### **Organisation**

☑ (A) Commitment to and experience in responsible investment (e.g. commitment to responsible investment principles and standards)

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates

☑ (B) Responsible investment policy(ies) (e.g. the alignment of their responsible investment policy with the investment mandate)

Select from dropdown list

- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates

☑ (C) Governance structure and senior-level oversight and accountability (e.g. the adequacy of their governance structure and reported conflicts of interest)

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates

#### **People and Culture**

☑ (D) Adequate resourcing and incentives (e.g. their team structures, operating model and remuneration structure, including alignment of interests)

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates

☑ (E) Staff competencies and experience in responsible investment (e.g. level of responsible investment responsibilities in their investment team, their responsible investment training and capacity building)

Select from dropdown list

- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates

#### **Investment Process**

 $\square$  (F) Incorporation of material ESG factors in the investment process (e.g. detail and evidence of how such factors are incorporated into the selection of individual assets and in portfolio construction)

Select from dropdown list

- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates

☑ (G) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in the investment process (e.g. detail and evidence of how such risks are incorporated into the selection of individual assets and in portfolio construction)

Select from dropdown list

- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates



☑ (H) Incorporation of material ESG factors and ESG risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in portfolio risk assessment (e.g. their process to measure and report such risks)

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates

#### **Performance and Reporting**

☑ (I) ESG disclosure in regular client reporting

Select from dropdown list

- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates
- ☑ (J) Inclusion of ESG factors in contractual agreements

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates
- (K) We did not review and evaluate any of the above responsible investment aspects when selecting new external investment managers or allocating new mandates to existing investment managers during the reporting year

#### **MONITORING**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 9	CORE	OO 14, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	4

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, which aspects of your external investment managers' responsible investment practices did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, monitor during the reporting year?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(3) Fixed income (active)
Organisation		
(A) Commitment to and experience in responsible investment (e.g. commitment to responsible investment principles and standards)	✓	☑



☑
☑
<b>☑</b>



(G) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in the investment process (e.g. detail and evidence of how such risks are incorporated into the selection of individual assets and in portfolio construction)	<b></b> ✓	
(H) Incorporation of material ESG factors and ESG risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in portfolio risk assessment (e.g. their process to measure and report such risks, their response to ESG incidents)	☑	
Performance and Reporting		
(I) ESG disclosure in regular client reporting (e.g. any changes in their regular client reporting)	<b>☑</b>	
(J) Inclusion of ESG factors in contractual agreements	Ø	
(K) We did not monitor any of the above aspects of our external investment managers' responsible investment practices during the reporting year	0	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 12	CORE	OO 14, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	1

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, how often does your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, monitor your external investment managers' responsible investment practices?



	(1) Listed equity (active)	(3) Fixed income (active)
(A) At least annually		
(B) Less than once a year		
(C) On an ad hoc basis		

## **ENGAGEMENT AND ESCALATION**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 16	CORE	OO 14, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Engagement and escalation	4

What actions does your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, include in its formal escalation process to address concerns raised during monitoring of your external investment managers' responsible investment practices?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(3) Fixed income (active)
(A) Engagement with their investment professionals, investment committee or other representatives	Z	Ø
(B) Notification about their placement on a watch list or relationship coming under review	Ø	V
(C) Reduction of capital allocation to the external investment managers until any concerns have been rectified		Ø
(D) Termination of the contract if failings persist over a (notified) period, including an explanation of the reasons for termination		☑



(E) Holding off selecting the external investment managers for new mandates or allocating additional capital until any concerns have been rectified		☑
(F) Other		
(G) Our organisation does not have a formal escalation process to address concerns raised during monitoring	0	0

#### **VERIFICATION**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 17	CORE	OO 14, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Verification	1

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, how did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, verify that the information reported by external investment managers on their responsible investment practices was correct during the reporting year?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(3) Fixed income (active)
(A) We checked that the information reported was verified through a third-party assurance process		
(B) We checked that the information reported was verified by an independent third party		
(C) We checked for evidence of internal monitoring or compliance		
(D) Other		



#### 0

0

# LISTED EQUITY (LE)

## **OVERALL APPROACH**

## **MATERIALITY ANALYSIS**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 1	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors across your listed equity strategies?

	(1) Passive equity	(3) Active - fundamental
(A) Yes, our investment process incorporates material governance factors	(2) for a majority of our AUM	(2) for a majority of our AUM
(B) Yes, our investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors	(2) for a majority of our AUM	(2) for a majority of our AUM
(C) Yes, our investment process incorporates material ESG factors beyond our organisation's average investment holding period	(2) for a majority of our AUM	(2) for a majority of our AUM
(D) No, we do not have a formal process. Our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their discretion	0	0



# **MONITORING ESG TRENDS**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 2	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring ESG trends	1

Does your organisation have a formal process for monitoring and reviewing the implications of changing ESG trends across your listed equity strategies?

	(1) Passive equity	(3) Active - fundamental
(A) Yes, we have a formal process that includes scenario analyses		
(B) Yes, we have a formal process, but it does not include scenario analyses		
(C) We do not have a formal process for our listed equity strategies; our investment professionals monitor how ESG trends vary over time at their discretion	•	•
(D) We do not monitor and review the implications of changing ESG trends on our listed equity strategies	0	0



# **PRE-INVESTMENT**

# **ESG INCORPORATION IN RESEARCH**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 3	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

How does your financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process incorporate material ESG risks?

## (2) Active - fundamental

(A) We incorporate material governance-related risks into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process	(1) in all cases
(B) We incorporate material environmental and social risks into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process	(1) in all cases
(C) We incorporate material environmental and social risks related to companies' supply chains into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process	(1) in all cases
(D) We do not incorporate material ESG risks into our financial analysis, equity valuation or security rating processes	0



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 4	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

What information do you incorporate when you assess the ESG performance of companies in your financial analysis, benchmark selection and/or portfolio construction process?

	(1) Passive equity	(3) Active - fundamental
(A) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on current performance across a range of material ESG factors	(2) in a majority of cases	(2) in a majority of cases
(B) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on historical performance across a range of material ESG factors	(2) in a majority of cases	(2) in a majority of cases
(C) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on material ESG factors that may impact or influence future corporate revenues and/or profitability	(2) in a majority of cases	(2) in a majority of cases
(D) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information enabling current, historical and/or future performance comparison within a selected peer group across a range of material ESG factors	(2) in a majority of cases	(2) in a majority of cases



(E) We do not incorporate qualitative or quantitative information on material ESG factors when assessing the ESG performance of companies in our financial analysis, equity investment or portfolio construction process

0 0

### **ESG INCORPORATION IN PORTFOLIO CONSTRUCTION**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 5	PLUS	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

Provide an example of how you incorporated ESG factors into your equity selection and research process during the reporting year.

Sienna Gestion uses a methodology for analyzing private issuers, based on the strong negative correlation between ESG risks and an issuer's financial or economic value. As a result, Sienna Gestion places the reduction of a company's ESG risks at the heart of its Responsible Investment strategy. Sienna Gestion analyzes a company's ability to anticipate and manage risks, as well as to capture the sustainability opportunities inherent in its business sector and the potential negative impact of its activities on sustainability factors. The ESG scores of private issuers calculated by Sienna Gestion include information on E, S and G criteria, as well as company controversies. Exclusion policy and the best-in-class methodology based on ESG scores shapes equity selection. Using a best-in-class methodology enables investors to benefit from the performance of the most responsible issuers in their sector. The worst ESG scores and exclusions permits to exclude 20% of the investing universe defined.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 6	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

How do material ESG factors contribute to your stock selection, portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process?



	(1) Passive equity	(3) Active - fundamental
(A) Material ESG factors contribute to the selection of individual assets and/or sector weightings within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(3) for a minority of our AUM	(2) for a majority of our AUM
(B) Material ESG factors contribute to the portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(3) for a minority of our AUM	(2) for a majority of our AUM
(C) Material ESG factors contribute to the country or region weighting of assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(3) for a minority of our AUM	(2) for a majority of our AUM
(D) Other ways material ESG factors contribute to your portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process		
(E) Our stock selection, portfolio construction or benchmark selection process does not include the incorporation of material ESG factors	0	0

## **PASSIVE INVESTMENTS**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 7	PLUS	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Passive investments	1

Provide an example of how material ESG factors influenced weightings and tilts in the design of your passively managed funds.

Passively managed funds on a responsible finance approach have to have at least 90% of their underlying funds having or respecting the French SRI Label requirements.



## **POST-INVESTMENT**

### **ESG RISK MANAGEMENT**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 9	CORE	OO 17.1 LE, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

What compliance processes do you have in place to ensure that your listed equity assets subject to negative exclusionary screens meet the screening criteria?

- ☑ (A) We have internal compliance procedures that ensure all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening have pre-trade checks
- ☑ (B) We have an external committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- ☑ (C) We have an independent internal committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- o (D) We do not have compliance processes in place to ensure that we meet our stated negative exclusionary screens

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 10	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For the majority of your listed equity assets, do you have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into your risk management process?



## (2) Active - fundamental

(A) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for individual listed equity holdings	
(B) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for other listed equity holdings exposed to similar risks and/or incidents	
(C) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for our stewardship activities	
(D) Yes, our formal process includes ad hoc reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on severe ESG incidents	
(E) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into our risk management process; our investment professionals identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents at their discretion	0



### PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 11	PLUS	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Performance monitoring	1

Provide an example of how the incorporation of ESG factors in your listed equity valuation or portfolio construction affected the realised returns of those assets.

Our methodology is developed based on the strong negative correlation between ESG risks and the financial or economic of an issuer. The sectorial exclusions and normative exclusions aim to exclude companies the most exposed to sustainability risks possibly affecting their future returns. Furthermore, the use of ESG ratings in the investment process enables managers to focus on assets with superior overall ESG performance, and thus reduce exposure to sustainability risks affecting assets or revenues of these assets.

#### DISCLOSURE OF ESG SCREENS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 12	CORE	OO 17 LE, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Disclosure of ESG screens	6

For all your listed equity assets subject to ESG screens, how do you ensure that clients understand ESG screens and their implications?

- ☑ (A) We share a list of ESG screens
- ☑ (B) We share any changes in ESG screens
- ☑ (C) We explain any implications of ESG screens, such as their deviation from a benchmark or impact on sector weightings
- o (D) We do not share the above information for all our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens



# **FIXED INCOME (FI)**

# **OVERALL APPROACH**

## **MATERIALITY ANALYSIS**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 1	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors across your fixed income assets?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised	(4) Private debt	
(A) Yes, our investment process incorporates material governance factors	rporates material governance (2) for a majority (2) for a majority (2) for a majority of our ALIM		(2) for a majority of our AUM	(2) for a majority of our AUM	
(B) Yes, our investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors	(2) for a majority of our AUM	(2) for a majority of our AUM	(2) for a majority of our AUM	(2) for a majority of our AUM	
(C) Yes, our investment process incorporates material ESG factors depending on different investment time horizons	(3) for a minority of our AUM	(3) for a minority of our AUM			
(D) No, we do not have a formal process; our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their discretion	0	0	0	0	
(E) No, we do not have a formal or informal process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors	0	0	0	0	



# **MONITORING ESG TRENDS**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 2	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring ESG trends	1

Does your organisation have a formal process for monitoring and reviewing the implications of changing ESG trends across your fixed income assets?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) Yes, we have a formal process that includes scenario analyses			
(B) Yes, we have a formal process, but does it not include scenario analyses			
(C) We do not have a formal process for our fixed income assets; our investment professionals monitor how ESG trends vary over time at their discretion	•	•	•
(D) We do not monitor and review the implications of changing ESG trends on our fixed income assets	0	0	0



# **PRE-INVESTMENT**

# **ESG INCORPORATION IN RESEARCH**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 3	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

For the majority of your fixed income investments, does your organisation incorporate material ESG factors when assessing their credit quality?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised	(4) Private debt
(A) We incorporate material environmental and social factors				☑
(B) We incorporate material governance-related factors	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
(C) We do not incorporate material ESG factors for the majority of our fixed income investments	0	0	0	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 4	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

Does your organisation have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country, region and/or sector?



	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised	(4) Private debt
(A) Yes, we have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by country and/or region (e.g. local governance and labour practices)	(2) for a majority of our AUM	(2) for a majority of our AUM	(2) for a majority of our AUM	
(B) Yes, we have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by sector	(2) for a majority of our AUM	(2) for a majority of our AUM	(2) for a majority of our AUM	
(C) No, we do not have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country, region and/or sector	0	0	0	O
(D) Not applicable; we are not able to differentiate ESG risks by issuer country, region and/or sector due to the limited universe of our issuers	0	o	O	•

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 5	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

How does your organisation incorporate material ESG factors when selecting private debt investments during the due diligence phase?

- $\square$  (A) We use a qualitative ESG checklist
- $\square$  (B) We assess quantitative information on material ESG factors, such as energy consumption, carbon footprint and gender diversity

Select from dropdown list:

- o (1) in all cases
- $\circ$  (3) in a minority of cases
- ☑ (C) We check whether the target company has its own responsible investment policy, sustainability policy or ESG policy

Select from dropdown list:

- o (1) in all cases
- o (3) in a minority of cases



☑ (D) We hire third-party consultants to do technical due diligence on specific material ESG factors where internal capabilities are not available

Select from dropdown list:

- o (1) in all cases
- o (2) in a majority of cases

# $\square$ (E) We require the review and sign-off of our ESG due diligence process by our investment committee, or the equivalent function

Select from dropdown list:

- o (2) in a majority of cases
- o (3) in a minority of cases
- ☐ (F) We use industry-recognised responsible investment due diligence questionnaire (DDQ) templates
- $\Box$  (G) We use another method of incorporating material ESG factors when selecting private debt investments during the due diligence process
- o (H) We do not incorporate material ESG factors when selecting private debt investments during the due diligence phase

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 6	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

How do you incorporate significant changes in material ESG factors over time into your fixed income asset valuation process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Private debt
(A) We incorporate it into the forecast of financial metrics or other quantitative assessments			(2) for a majority of our AUM
(B) We make a qualitative assessment of how material ESG factors may evolve	(2) for a majority of our AUM	(2) for a majority of our AUM	(3) for a minority of our AUM
(C) We do not incorporate significant changes in material ESG factors	0	0	0



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 7	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

At what level do you incorporate material ESG factors into the risks and/or returns of your securitised products?

- (A) At both key counterparties' and at the underlying collateral pool's levels Explain: (Voluntary)
- o (B) At key counterparties' level only
- $\circ$  (C) At the underlying collateral pool's level only

### **ESG INCORPORATION IN PORTFOLIO CONSTRUCTION**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 8	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

How do material ESG factors contribute to your security selection, portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) Material ESG factors contribute to the selection of individual assets and/or sector weightings within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(2) for a majority of our AUM	(2) for a majority of our AUM	(2) for a majority of our AUM
(B) Material ESG factors contribute to determining the holding period of individual assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(3) for a minority of our AUM	(3) for a minority of our AUM	(3) for a minority of our AUM



(C) Material ESG factors contribute to the portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(2) for a majority of our AUM	(2) for a majority of our AUM	(2) for a majority of our AUM
(D) Material ESG factors contribute to the country or region weighting of assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(2) for a majority of our AUM	(3) for a minority of our AUM	(3) for a minority of our AUM
(E) Material ESG factors contribute to our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process in other ways	(2) for a majority of our AUM	(2) for a majority of our AUM	(2) for a majority of our AUM
(F) Our security selection, portfolio construction or benchmark selection process does not include the incorporation of material ESG factors	Ο	0	Ο

# (E) Material ESG factors contribute to our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process in other ways - Specify:

Sienna Gestion analyzes a company's ability to anticipate and manage risks, as well as to capture the sustainability opportunities inherent in its business sector and the potential negative impact of its activities on sustainability factors. The ESG scores of private issuers calculated by Sienna Gestion include information on E, S and G criteria, as well as company controversies. Exclusion policy and the best-inclass methodology based on ESG scores shapes equity selection. Using a best-in-class methodology enables investors to benefit from the performance of the most responsible issuers in their sector. The worst ESG scores and exclusions permits to exclude 20% of the investing universe defined. All those constraints influence the portfolios construction.



## **POST-INVESTMENT**

## **ESG RISK MANAGEMENT**

ndicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle	
111	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1	
low are material ESG factors incorporated into your portfolio risk management process?							
		(1) SSA	(2) Cor	porate	(3) Securitised	(4) Private debt	
-	the equivalent function veto investment sed on ESG	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for al		(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM	

(C) Overall exposure to specific
material ESG factors is measured
for our portfolio construction, and
sizing or hedging adjustments are
made depending on the individual
issuer or issue sensitivity to these
factors

and/or currencies are monitored for

changes in exposure to material

risk limits

ESG factors and any breaches of



(2) for a majority

of our AUM



(2) for a majority

of our AUM

(3) for a minority of our AUM

(2) for a majority

of our AUM

(3) for a minority of our AUM

(2) for a majority

of our AUM

- (D) We use another method of incorporating material ESG factors into our portfolio's risk management process
- (E) We do not have a process to incorporate material ESG factors into our portfolio's risk management process

0

0

0



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 12	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For the majority of your fixed income assets, do you have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into your risk management process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised	(4) Private debt
(A) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for individual fixed income holdings	Ø	☑	<b></b> ✓	☑
(B) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents, and their implications for other fixed income holdings exposed to similar risks and/or incidents				
(C) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents, and their implications for our stewardship activities		Ø	☑	
(D) Yes, our formal process includes ad hoc reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on severe ESG incidents	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø



(E) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate ESG risks and ESG incidents; our investment professionals identify and incorporate ESG risks and ESG incidents at their discretion	ο	Ο	0	0
(F) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate ESG risks and ESG incidents into our risk management process	o	o	0	0

### PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 13	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Performance monitoring	1

During the reporting year, how did your organisation incorporate material ESG factors when monitoring private debt investments?

П	<b>(Δ)</b>	\//e	used	a	gualitative	FSG	checklist
ш	(A)	vve	useu	a١	qualitative	<b>E</b> 3G	CHECKIIS

☑ (B) We assessed quantitative information on material ESG factors, such as energy consumption, carbon footprint and gender diversity

Select from dropdown list:

- o (1) in all cases
- o (3) in the minority of cases

 $\square$  (C) We hired third-party consultants to do technical assessment on specific material ESG factors where internal capabilities were not available

Select from dropdown list:

- o (1) in all cases
- o (2) in the majority of cases
- (3) in the minority of cases
- $\square$  (D) We used industry body guidelines
- ☐ (E) We used another method to incorporate material ESG factors into the monitoring of private debt investments
- o (F) We did not incorporate material ESG factors when monitoring private debt investments



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 14	PLUS	OO 5.3 FI, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Performance monitoring	1

Provide an example of how the incorporation of environmental and/or social factors in your fixed income valuation or portfolio construction affected the realised returns of those assets.

Our methodology is developed based on the strong negative correlation between ESG risks and the financial or economic of an issuer. The sectorial exclusions and normative exclusions aim to exclude companies the most exposed to sustainability risks possibly affecting their future returns. Furthermore, the use of ESG ratings in the investment process enables managers to focus on assets with superior overall ESG performance, and thus reduce exposure to sustainability risks affecting assets or revenues of these assets.

### THEMATIC BONDS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 15	PLUS	OO 20, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Thematic bonds	3

What percentage of environmental, social and/or other labelled thematic bonds held by your organisation has been verified?

### As a percentage of your total labelled bonds:

(A) Third-party assurance	(1) 0%
(B) Second-party opinion	(1) 0%
(C) Approved verifiers or external reviewers (e.g. via CBI or ICMA)	(4) >50–75%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 16	CORE	00 17 FI, 00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Thematic bonds	1

What pre-determined criteria does your organisation use to identify which non-labelled thematic bonds to invest in?

- $\square$  (A) The bond's use of proceeds
- $\square$  (B) The issuers' targets
- $\square$  (C) The issuers' progress towards achieving their targets
- $\Box$  (D) The issuer profile and how it contributes to their targets
- (E) We do not use pre-determined criteria to identify which non-labelled thematic bonds to invest in
- o (F) Not applicable; we do not invest in non-labelled thematic bonds



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 17	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Thematic bonds	1, 2, 6

During the reporting year, what action did you take in the majority of cases when you felt that the proceeds of a thematic bond were not allocated appropriately or in accordance with the terms of the bond deal or prospectus?

- $\square$  (A) We engaged with the issuer
- $\square$  (B) We alerted thematic bond certification agencies
- $\square$  (C) We sold the security
- $\square$  (D) We blacklisted the issuer
- $\square$  (E) Other action
- (F) We did not take any specific actions when the proceeds of a thematic bond were not allocated according to the terms of the bond deal during the reporting year
- (G) Not applicable; in the majority of cases, the proceeds of thematic bonds were allocated according to the terms of the bond deal during the reporting year

### DISCLOSURE OF ESG SCREENS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 18	CORE	OO 17 FI, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Disclosure of ESG screens	6

For all your fixed income assets subject to ESG screens, how do you ensure that clients understand ESG screens and their implications?

- ☑ (A) We share a list of ESG screens
- ☑ (B) We share any changes in ESG screens
- $\square$  (C) We explain any implications of ESG screens, such as any deviation from a benchmark or impact on sector weightings
- o (D) We do not share the above information for all our fixed income assets subject to ESG screens



# **CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)**

## **CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES**

### APPROACH TO CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 1	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Approach to confidence-building measures	6

#### How did your organisation verify the information submitted in your PRI report this reporting year?

- $\Box$  (A) We conducted independent third-party assurance of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion
- ☐ (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls or governance processes to be able to conduct independent third-party assurance next year
- $\square$  (C) We conducted an internal audit of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report
- ☑ (D) Our board, trustees (or equivalent), senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and/or investment committee (or equivalent) signed off on our PRI report
- $\Box$  (E) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings to verify that our funds comply with our responsible investment policy  $\Box$  (F) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings as part of risk management, engagement identification or investment decision-making
- ☑ (G) Our responses in selected sections and/or the entirety of our PRI report were internally reviewed before submission to the PRI
- o (H) We did not verify the information submitted in our PRI report this reporting year

#### INTERNAL REVIEW

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
СВМ 6	CORE	CBM 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Internal review	6

#### Who in your organisation reviewed the responses submitted in your PRI report this year?

- $\square$  (A) Board, trustees, or equivalent
- ☑ (B) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent Sections of PRI report reviewed
  - **◎ (1)** the entire report
  - o (2) selected sections of the report
- $\circ$  (C) None of the above internal roles reviewed selected sections or the entirety of the responses submitted in our PRI report this year

